

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,681	09/18/2003	Leonard Robert Speiser	2043.093US1	8255	
	7590 10/07/200 N. LUNDBERG & WC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			SHEIKH, ASFAND M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/666,681	SPEISER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Asfand M. Sheikh	3627		

	Astand M. Sneikh	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date where been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued as 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the contract of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant / trionamont (i	TOL OL+).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner notes the applicant states that Spiegel does not teach every element of claim 1, more specifically, "identifying at least one frequently used search term associated with the identified division." The examiner disagree. The examiner notes Spiegel discloses "identifying at least one frequently used search term associated with the identified division" (see at least, col. 7, lines 6-67). Further the examiner notes Spiegel discloses that a "category" can be searched by a user and the number of times the category was selected as a destination of a node tree (see col. 6, lines 40-60). The examiner notes Spiegel further discloses category data nodes includes data related to activity with the category (see col. 6, lines 21-29). The examiner notes a combination of this represents identifying one frequently used search term (e.g. identifying a popular category). The applicant further states Spiegel does not teach every element of claim 1, more specifically "providing a link to the user to listings associated with the at least one frequently used search term." The examiner notes FIG. 1 depicts a link to featured categories based on the searching of users (see col. 6, lines 40-60 and col. 7, lines 6-67). The examiner notes under as interpreted Spiegel reads on the applicant's claimed invention, therefore the examiner finds these arguments not persuants of adment invention, therefore he examiner finds these arguments not persuants.